- 134. The money in equity and good conscience should be paid to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class, who have a better legal and equitable right and claim to the money. The Tobacco Settlement Act provides a specific method by which funds must be distributed to hospitals. Defendants received millions of dollars in extraordinary expense reimbursements that do not belong to them and that ought to be paid instead to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class. The injustice is particularly acute in light of the purpose of the Extraordinary Expense program, the fact that Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class are hospitals that would use the money for the benefit of Pennsylvanians and the Commonwealth's healthcare system, the fact that Defendants have effectively admitted that they are not entitled to the overpayments, and the fact that Defendants submitted their invalid and overstated extraordinary expense claims deliberately, knowing they were false, and with reckless disregard as to their truth or falsity.
- 135. Plaintiffs, the Plaintiff Class, and the Commonwealth reasonably relied on the conduct and assertions of Defendants in allocating to Defendants a greater pro rata share of the EE fund than Defendants are entitled to.
- 136. The submission of the incorrect and overstated EE Program claims was deliberate and fraudulent, but in the alternative, it was unintentional, accidental, and negligent. Defendants are liable under this Count even if their actions were not knowing or intentional, or did not amount to fraud.
- 137. Recovery by Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class leaves all parties concerned in the position the Tobacco Settlement Act contemplated they should be in.
- 138. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have been directly and proximately injured as a result of and at the expense of Defendants' unjust enrichment.
 - 139. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT FOUR — MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED

Against Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster General Health, the University of Pennsylvania Health System, and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania

- 140. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1–139.
- 141. Defendants Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster General Health, the University of Pennsylvania Health System, and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania have in their possession money which in equity and in good conscience belongs to and ought to be paid to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class.
- 142. Defendants have in their possession money that has been wrongfully diverted from its proper use by Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class, and has instead fallen into the hands of a third person, Defendants, who, in equity and good conscience, have an inferior right to that money.
- 143. The money had and received constitutes the approximately \$9 million by which Defendants have been overpaid from the EE Program fund from Fiscal Years 2010 to 2012, in violation of the law, and which money was and remains in Defendants' possession.
- 144. Defendants have converted public money that they knew or should have known the payment of which to them was improper.
- 145. Defendants received from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and are in possession of money they received from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which they are not entitled to keep and which in equity and in good conscience should be paid to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class in accordance with principles of natural justice. The Tobacco Settlement Act provides a specific method by which funds must be distributed to hospitals. Defendants received millions of dollars in extraordinary expense reimbursements that do not belong to them and that ought to be paid instead to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class. The injustice is particularly acute in light of the purpose of the Extraordinary Expense program, the fact that Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff

Class are hospitals that would use the money for the betterment of Pennsylvanians and the Commonwealth's healthcare system, the fact that Defendants have effectively admitted that they are not entitled to the overpayments, and the fact that Defendants submitted their invalid and overstated extraordinary expense claims deliberately, knowing they were false, and with reckless disregard as to their truth or falsity.

- 146. The money was paid to Defendants by mistake or under compulsion, due to Defendants' submission of inaccurate and overstated claims, and in return for insufficient consideration from Defendants. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania paid the money to Defendants based on the erroneous belief that Defendants' claims were accurate and not overstated, and in reliance upon Defendants' submission of false and inflated claims.
- 147. The submission of the incorrect and overstated EE Program claims was deliberate and fraudulent, but in the alternative, it was unintentional, accidental, and negligent. Defendants are liable under this Count even if their actions were not knowing or intentional, or did not amount to fraud.
- 148. Defendants were aware and had actual or constructive knowledge when they received the money, and they are currently aware and have actual or constructive knowledge, that the money was procured and retained by mistake, false pretenses, and by fraudulent means.
- 149. It would be inequitable, unjust, and unconscionable to allow Defendants to retain the overpayments.
- 150. Recovery by Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class leaves all parties concerned in the position the Tobacco Settlement Act contemplated they should be in.
- 151. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have been directly and proximately injured as a result of Defendants' retention of the money they have wrongly received.

152. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT FIVE — CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST

Against Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster General Health, the University of Pennsylvania Health System, and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania

- 153. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1–152.
- 154. Defendants Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster General Health, the University of Pennsylvania Health System, and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania hold title to the money by which they have been overpaid subject to an equitable duty to convey it to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class because Defendants would be unjustly enriched if they were permitted to retain those funds.
- 155. The specific trust *res* consists of the approximately \$9 million by which Defendants have been overpaid pursuant from the EE Program fund from Fiscal Years 2010 to 2012, and which *res* was and remains in Defendants' possession.
- 156. Defendants obtained the trust *res* by taking advantage of their relationship with Plaintiffs, the Plaintiff Class, and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 157. Plaintiffs have demanded payment from Defendants of the money they hold in constructive trust, but Defendants have refused to release the funds to Plaintiffs or the Plaintiff Class.
- 158. The necessity for the imposition of a constructive trust arises from the circumstances of this case—i.e., the conduct set forth above by which Defendants submitted false claims to the EE Program and then wrongfully retained the overpayments—which evidence fraud, duress, undue influence, mistake, abuse of a confidential relationship, and other such circumstances suggesting unjust enrichment.

- 159. Plaintiffs, the Plaintiff Class, and the Commonwealth reasonably relied on the conduct and assertions of Defendants in allocating to Defendants a greater pro rata share of the EE fund than Defendants are entitled to.
- 160. The submission of the incorrect and overstated EE Program claims was deliberate and fraudulent, but in the alternative, it was unintentional, accidental, and negligent. Defendants are liable under this Count even if their actions were not knowing or intentional, or did not amount to fraud.
- 161. Defendants have been unjustly enriched for the reasons set forth in this complaint and because they have failed to discharge their equitable duty to convey the funds to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class.
 - 162. The imposition of a constructive trust is necessary to prevent unjust enrichment.
- 163. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have been directly and proximately injured as a result of Defendants' violation of their equitable duty to convey the funds to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class.
 - 164. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have no adequate remedy at law.

JURY DEMAND

165. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Plaintiff Class, hereby demand a jury on all claims so triable.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs seek relief and demand judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. Determining that this action may proceed as a class action, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.
 P. 23;
 - Designating Plaintiffs as the class representatives for the Plaintiff Class;

- C. Designating Plaintiffs' undersigned counsel as counsel for the Plaintiff Class;
- D. Ordering John Doe 1 and John Doe 2 to pay Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class treble damages for all injuries Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have incurred to their business and property as a result of the acts of racketeering activity detailed above as authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c);
- E. Ordering Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster General Health, the University of Pennsylvania Health System, and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania to pay Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class damages for all injuries Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class have incurred to their business and property as a result of the Defendants' unjust enrichment, improper retention of money had and received, and violation of their equitable duty to convey funds to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class;
- F. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class prejudgment interest, at the rate of 6% per annum, to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class, on a joint and several liability basis against Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster General Health, the University of Pennsylvania Health System, and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania;
- G. Declaring Defendants' submission of invalid, incorrect, and overstated claims to the EE Program, and retention of any overpayments resulting from such claims, to be unlawful;
- H. Enjoining Defendants from retaining any further overpayments they may receive pursuant to disbursements under the EE Program;
- I. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class attorneys' fees, cost and disbursement incurred as a result of this action, including but not limited to fees and costs under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c);

- J. Imposing a constructive trust upon the general funds of Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster General Health, the University of Pennsylvania Health System, and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, and ordering disgorgement of such funds, in an amount to be determined, for distribution in satisfaction of damages and other amounts awarded to Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class; and
- K. Granting Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 22, 2018

s/ David H. Thompson
David H. Thompson*
Brian Barnes*
William C. Marra*
COOPER & KIRK, PLLC
1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: (202) 220-9600 Fax: (202) 220-9601

dthompson@cooperkirk.com

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas J. McGill

Attorney Identification No. 63706

Attorney of Record WEBBER McGILL LLC 760 Route 10, Suite 104 Whippany, NJ 07981

Tel: (973) 739-9559 Fax: (973) 739-9575

dmcgill@webbermcgill.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

^{*} Pro hac vice application forthcoming

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS			
St. Luke's Health Network Network; et al.	rk, Inc. d/b/a St. Luke's	university Health	Lancaster Genera	l Hospital; et al.			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff L	.ehigh	County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Lancaster			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)				
Douglas J. McGill, Esq.,\ Whippany, New Jersey 0		50 Route 10, Suite 104	4,				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff		Not a Party)		FF DEF 1			
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	06 06		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			- Annual Management -	The state of the s	of Suit Code Descriptions.		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act		
□ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC)		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal		830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product		New Drug Application	★ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 720 Labor/Management	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts 		
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act		 ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information 		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General	IMM/CB ATION	(조구시조기·학교 : 14 (조기·조기·기	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment 	535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application		State Statutes		
	Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions				
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -	10.709894695				
		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is			- Para transport and a second a				
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reopened Anothe (specify)				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of ca) & (d) tuse:Recovery for overstate	ling (Do not cite Jurisdictional stated claims to PA Extraordinary E	AND THE AND THE STORY WITH SECURITION SHOWS IT AND THE SEC	hment, money had & received		
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	tion of constructed truits A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S		if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.CV.P.		JURY DEMAND:	¥ Yes □No		
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF BECORD	V O			
05/22/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		w for	1 / Marisa	X			
	MOUNT	APPLYINGTEP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

St. Luke's Health Network, Inc. d/b/a St. Luke's . CIVIL ACTION				
University Health Network; et a	al.			
v.				
Lancaster General Hospital e	t al.	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve a side of this form.) In the eve designation, that defendant sha	Management Track Designa copy on all defendants. (See nt that a defendant does no ll, with its first appearance, s, a Case Management Trac	Reduction Plan of this court, couns ation Form in all civil cases at the time \$\frac{1}{2}\$ \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and ser k Designation Form specifying the ed.	me ver g sa ve (of se id on
SELECT ONE OF THE FOL	LOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
(b) Social Security – Cases req and Human Services denying	uesting review of a decision ng plaintiff Social Security I		()
(c) Arbitration - Cases require	d to be designated for arbitra	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	claims for personal injury of	or property damage from	()
	es that do not fall into tracks implex and that need special of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by	(2	х <u>х</u>
(f) Standard Management – Ca	ases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	()
5/22/2018	lunks (Me or)	St. Luke's University Health		. d/b/a
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		_
973-739-9559	973-739-9575	dmcgill@webbermcgill.cor	n	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		- 1

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 5:18-cv-02157-JLS Document 1-1 Filed 05/22/18 Page 10 of 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar. ST. LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC. d/b/a ST. LUKE'S UNIVERSITY OF A ST. LUKE'S UNIVERS		
Address of Plaintiff: 801 Ostrum Street, Bethlehem, PA 18015 LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL, et al. Address of Defendant: 555 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:		
(Use Reverse Side Fo.	r Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation own	ng 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(No M
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□	No⊠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	D	
	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one		78797,000_ .
. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes	No⊡ alv tameinatad
action in this court?	r suit pending or within one year previou	sly terminated
December 1 to 1 t	Yes□	No⊡
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court?		77 and 1,712
terminated action in this court?	Yes□	No⊠
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	hts case filed by the same individual?	
	Yes□	No□
IVIL: (Place in one category only)		
Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case	s:
. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract a	
. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal II	niury
. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation	(B) (B)
. 🗆 Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Inj	
. □ Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Perso	- 15 - 15
. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injur	
. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability	24 - 128 - 1
. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability —	
. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity (
. □ Social Security Review Cases		
. XXD All other Federal Question Cases	(riease specify)	
(Please specify) Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
ARBITRATION CERT	TIFICATION	
Douglas J. McGill (Check Appropriate C		
R Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and		ivil action case exceed the sum of
50,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;		1 464
客 Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	To 101 II	
ATE: 5/22/2018	6370	6-PA
Attorney-at-Law		mey I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo-will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38	
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or cept as noted above.	within one year previously terminated	action in this court
ATE: 5/22/2018	J.U. 63	706-PA
Attorney at-Law	Attorr	ey I.D.#

Case 5:18-cv-02157-JLS Document 1-1 Filed 05/22/18 Page 11 of 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

assignment to appropriate calendar. ST. LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC. d/b/a ST. LUKE'S UNIV		e of	
Address of Plaintiff: 801 Ostrum Street, Bethlehem, PA 18015 LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL, et al.		_	
Address of Defendant: 555 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:			
	or Additional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	on and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1	(a)) Yes□ No⊠		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yesu No.		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:			
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:	_	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within on	e year previously terminated action in this court?		
	Yes□ No⊡		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a pri action in this court?	or suit pending or within one year previously terminated		
	Yes□ No⊡		
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earli			
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil r	ights case filed by the same individual?		
TWO HER METHOD SERVICES CONTROL SERVICES OF THE SERVICES OF TH	Yes□ No□		
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
A. Federal Question Cases;	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury		
5. 🗆 Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability		
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. XX□ All other Federal Question Cases	(88)(40)(4.44) (186-86) (186-86)		
(Please specify) Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations			
ARBITRATION CER (Check Appropriate	2 Category)		
I, Douglas J. McGill , counsel of record do hereby co R Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge a		of	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	and benef, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of	n.	
👺 Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	NAME OF THE PARTY.		
DATE: 5/22/2018	13 (d) 63706-PA		
Attorney at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if	Attorney I.D.#		
20 (2007-24) - 1987 (2007-2007-1)	6~ (10 - 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending except as noted above.	or within one year previously terminated action in this court		
5/22/2018	9(, ((63706-PA		
DATE:	Attorney I D #		

CIV. 609 (5/2012)